

**Habitats Regulations Assessment and
Equality Impact Assessment –**

Main Modifications

Gedling Borough Local Planning Document

(February 2018)

Contents

	Page
Addendum to Equality Impact Assessment – Local Planning Document: Main Modifications	2
Addendum to Habitats Regulations Assessment – Local Planning Document: Main Modifications	23

Introduction

This combined document provides an update to the Habitats Regulations Assessment and Equality Impact Assessment in light of the modifications made to the Local Planning Document set out in the 'Proposed Main Modifications to the Local Planning Document Publication Draft' (February, 2018) document.

Addendum to Equality Impact Assessment – Local Planning Document: Main Modifications

Introduction

1. This document follows on from the Equality Impact Assessment (May 2016) (**LPD/REG/22**) and Addendum: Additional Housing Allocations (September 2017) (**EX/129**). These previous assessments assessed the Publication Draft Local Planning Document (LPD) (May 2016) (**LPD/REG/02**) and the Extract of Local Planning Document Housing Allocation Policies (September 2017) (**EX126**) respectively. This Addendum: Main Modifications, which should be read in conjunction with the previous assessments, assesses the impact that proposed modifications to the policies that have arisen through the examination of the LPD on people with protected characteristics.
2. This Addendum: Main Modifications outlines where modifications are proposed to the policies and proposals set out in the LPD, and undertakes an Equality Impact Assessment of the modifications made. Full details of the proposed modifications are indicated in the Proposed Main Modifications to the Local Planning Document Publication Draft (February, 2018). It should be noted that some of the policy numbers have changed since the Publication Draft LPD as a result of the insertion of additional policies to the LPD.

Methodology

3. The same methodology has been used to assess the proposed modifications as was used in the Equality Impact Assessment (May 2016) (**LPD/REG/22**). This is not repeated here to avoid duplication.

How to read this assessment

4. This Addendum: Main Modifications provides an update to the Equality Impact Assessment (May 2016) and the Addendum: Additional Housing Allocations (September 2017) (**EX/129**). The 'Policy and Status' column identifies the LPD policy/allocation and sets out in brackets whether it has been changed by a proposed modification. Where the policy/allocation has not changed, the original assessment is retained.
5. Where the policy/allocation has been modified, the column is highlighted grey for ease of reference. The modified policy/allocation has then been re-

assessed in terms of scoping of relevance. In most cases, there is no change in terms of scoping, and the original impact assessment is retained.

Action Plan

6. An assessment of the impact of the main modifications to the policies in the Local Planning Document has resulted in no further recommended changes to the policies.
7. Where a policy changed by the proposed modifications has been re-assessed, in most cases the original impact assessment remains unchanged. This assessment has resulted in a new or revised impact assessment for the following policies allocations (and reasons), however there are no additional recommended changes to these policies/allocations.
 - Policy LPD (new) - Gypsy and Traveller Provision (assessment required as this is a new policy)
 - Policy LPD 49 - Development within Town and Local Centres (assessment takes account of modification)
 - Employment Allocation E3 – Top Wighay Farm (assessment required as this is a new policy)
 - Employment Allocation E4 – Teal Close (assessment required as this is a new policy)

Whilst the assessment has resulted in a new or revised assessment for the above policies, there are no additional recommended changes to these policies.

Policy and Status	What will policy do	Relevance to Equalities (Scoping of relevance)	Impact of Policy (Impact assessment)
Part A: Development Management			
LPD 1 – Wind Turbines (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the factors that will be used to assess proposals for wind turbines.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 2 – Other Renewable Energy Schemes (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the factors that will be used to assess proposals for renewable energy schemes other than wind turbines (e.g. solar panels, anaerobic digesters geothermal).	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 3 – Managing Flood Risk (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Provides further guidance on the application on the application of the sequential and exceptions tests and information requirements in support of proposals.	The population of some of the villages identified as being at risk of flooding (Lambley, Woodborough) are generally older.	Aim of policy is to ensure that risk of flooding does not increase and potentially may improve existing situation. Continue with the Policy
LPD 4 – Surface Water Management (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Provides guidance on the inclusion of measures to control surface water runoff.	The population of some of the villages identified as being at risk of flooding (Lambley, Woodborough) are generally older.	Aim of policy is to ensure that risk of flooding does not increase and potentially may improve existing situation. Continue with the Policy
LPD 5 – Managing Water Quality (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Protects the quality of water courses in the Borough.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required

LPD 6 – Aquifer Protection (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Protects the quality of ground water held in the aquifer.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 7 – Contaminated Land (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken)	Ensures that contaminated land is suitable for development and contamination is treated, contained or controlled appropriately. Modification includes reference to utilities infrastructure.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 8 – Unstable Land (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures that appropriate action is taken to ensure that land is stable and safe for development.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 9 – Hazardous Substances (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures that new development that involves hazardous substances or is close to existing sites is safe and appropriately protected.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 10 – Pollution (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures that the impacts of pollution are appropriately managed reducing the impact on health and existing development near to sources of pollution.	Impact on disabilities affected by potential emissions.	Policy would require measures to minimise pollution to protect health and should have a positive benefit. Continue with the Policy
LPD 11 – Air Quality (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures that, if required, development takes steps to mitigate or offset emissions.	Impact on respiratory related disabilities.	Policy requires emissions to be mitigated or offset; may improve air quality and improve health of local residents. Continue with the Policy

LPD 12 – Reuse of Buildings within the Green Belt (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the occasions when the reuse of buildings within the Green Belt is considered to be not inappropriate.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 13 – Extensions to Buildings within the Green Belt (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the occasions when extensions to buildings within the Green Belt are considered to be not inappropriate.	Potential impact if extensions are required due to mobility (disability or elderly) issues.	Determination of planning applications can take consider whether there are very special circumstances such as personal circumstances. Continue with the Policy
LPD 14 – Replacement of Buildings within the Green Belt (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the occasions when the replacement of buildings within the Green Belt is considered to be not inappropriate.	Potential impact if replacement is required due to mobility (disability or elderly) issues.	Determination of planning applications can take consider whether there are very special circumstances such as personal circumstances. Continue with the Policy
LPD 15 – Infill development within the Green Belt (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the occasions when the infill development in villages or previously developed sites is considered to be not inappropriate.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 16 – Safeguarded Land (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken)	Allocates safeguarded land and sets policy to assess proposals for its development during the plan period.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).

	Modification clarifies plan period, ensures planning permission does not compromise long-term development, and makes a presentational change to the Policies Map.		
LPD 17 – Homes for Rural Workers (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the tests for assessing proposals for new homes in association with rural businesses.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 18 – Protecting and Enhancing Biodiversity (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken)	Sets out how sites of importance for nature conservation (LWS, SSSIs etc.) will be protected. Modification clarifies status of ancient woodland.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 19 – Landscape Character and Visual Impact (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken)	Protects landscapes and ensure development does not have an significant visual impact Modification improves clarity but does not affect approach.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 20 – Protection of Open Space (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures the protection of existing open space of different types. Includes exceptions where development may be acceptable.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 21 – Provision of New Open Space	Requires that new residential development provides a minimum	Potential impact if protected characteristics are more prevalent in	Type of open space to be provided will be assessed on site-by-site basis with

(No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	of 10% open space. Provision to be made on site or via a financial contribution.	specific areas in the Borough.	account taken of local population. Continue with the Policy
LPD 22 – Local Green Space (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken)	Identifies and protects sites due to their value to local communities. Modification improves clarity and corrects site name but does not affect approach.	Potential impact for age as Local Green Spaces generally in rural areas which have an older population.	Decisions on which sites to be designated as Local Green Space will take account of value to local communities and if valuable to communities with higher levels of protected characteristics. Continue with the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 23 – Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Provides support for development which helps achieve the aims and objectives of the named organisations/initiatives.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 24 – Tourist Accommodation (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out how proposals for new tourist accommodation will be assessed	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 25 – Equestrian Development (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out how proposals for stables and related development will be assessed.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required

LPD 26 – Heritage Assets (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken)	Sets out the tests to be applied to development proposals which impact on all types of heritage assets. Modification improves clarity but does not affect approach.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 27 – Listed Buildings (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the tests to be applied to development proposals which impact on Listed Buildings.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 28 – Conservation Areas (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the tests to be applied to development proposals which are within or impact on Conservation Areas.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 29 – Historic Landscapes, Parks and Gardens (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken)	Sets out the tests to be applied to development proposals which impact on historic landscapes or registered parks & gardens Modification improves clarity but does not affect approach.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 30 – Archaeology (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken)	Sets out the tests to be applied to development proposals which impact on Scheduled Monuments or areas of high archaeological potential. Modification improves clarity but does not affect approach.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 31 – Locally Important	Sets out the tests to be applied to	No relevance to protected	Not Required

Heritage Assets (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	development proposals which impact on heritage assets which are not formally designated.	characteristics.	
LPD 32 – Amenity (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures that the amenity of nearby properties is not significantly affected by new development.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 33 – Residential Density (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the approach to density across the Borough with different minimum densities in different areas.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 34 – Residential Gardens (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Protects local character by protecting residential gardens from inappropriate development.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 35 – Safe, Accessible and Inclusive Development (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Provides details of how new development, especially large scale new development, should be designed. The policy provides detail on matters such layout, connectivity and massing.	Potential impact on those with mobility issues (disabled, elderly and those with children) or those with fear of crime (gender, gender reassignment, race and sexual orientation).	Policy should ensure that development is safe and easy to navigate. Could include reference to specific requirements to improve accessibility or security for protected characteristics. Adjust the Policy
LPD 36 – Affordable Housing (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken)	Requires new residential development of more than 15 dwellings to provide a contribution to the supply of affordable housing. The exact contribution will vary on location. Detail included in	Potential impact on those with protected characteristics in need of affordable housing; a higher proportion of those in social housing are women.	Policy will lead to the provision of affordable homes and will have a positive benefit. A higher requirement for Affordable Housing will have a greater impact. Adjust the Policy

	Appendix (new) Modification improves clarity by stating percentage targets rather than referring to map and clarifies affordable housing requirement in 'other areas' not identified as a sub-market. Does not affect approach.		(Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 37 – Housing Type, Size and Tenure (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Requires new residential development to ensure an appropriate mix of homes to be provided. Policy supported through monitoring in AMR.	Potential impact on those who need more space than average or with access needs (disabled, elderly, those with children) – different issues in different locations within the Borough.	Approach will take account of local requirements. Continue with the Policy
LPD (New) Gypsy and Traveller Provision (New policy – equality assessment undertaken)	New policy sets out that a suitable site to accommodate 3 Gypsy and Traveller pitches will be identified by 2019.	Potential impact on those with protected characteristics in need of Gypsy and Traveller accommodation.	Policy will lead to the provision of Gypsy and Traveller pitches in line with identified need, and therefore will have a positive benefit. Continue with the Policy
LPD 38 – Specialist Accommodation (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the tests that proposals for residential institutions will need to meet.	Potential impact on those who will use these institutions (elderly, disabled)	Ensures the provision of good quality environments for residents. Continue with the Policy
LPD 39 – Housing Development on Unallocated Sites (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the tests that proposals for new dwellings on sites that are not specifically allocated will need to meet.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required

<p>LPD 40 - Live-Work Units (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)</p>	<p>Sets out how proposals for buildings which will provide both living accommodation and business space will be assessed.</p>	<p>Potential impact for those with disabilities or mobility issues.</p>	<p>May increase number of live-work units which may make it easier for those with disabilities or mobility issues to access employment. Continue with the Policy</p>
<p>LPD 41 – Self Build and Custom Homes (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken)</p>	<p>Sets out that a proportion of large sites will be required for self/custom build. The policy also provides tests for proposals for self/custom build homes. Detail to be included in SPD. Modification broadens criteria to relate to all proposals rather than just on large sites. Requirement for an ‘appropriate percentage’ on large sites is retained.</p>	<p>Potential impact if home is to be designed for specific reasons (e.g. disabilities or mobility issues).</p>	<p>May make it easier to self/custom build leading to a benefit. Determination of planning applications can take account of material considerations such as personal circumstances. Continue with the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).</p>
<p>LPD 42 – Extensions to Dwellings Not in the Green Belt (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)</p>	<p>Sets out the tests for residential extensions to dwellings that are not within the Green Belt.</p>	<p>Potential impact if extensions are required due to mobility (disability or elderly) issues.</p>	<p>Determination of planning applications can take account of material considerations such as personal circumstances. Continue with the Policy</p>
<p>LPD 43 – Retention of Employment and Employment Uses (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken.)</p>	<p>Protects sites for employment use (and other appropriate purposes). Modification includes reference to the setting of heritage assets and makes minor boundary amendments.</p>	<p>No relevance to protected characteristics.</p>	<p>Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).</p>
<p>LPD 44 – Employment</p>	<p>Permits new employment</p>	<p>No relevance to protected</p>	<p>Not Required</p>

Development on Unallocated Sites (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	development where it meets the identified tests.	characteristics.	
LPD 45 – Expansion of Existing Employment Uses Not in the Green Belt (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken.)	Permits extensions to existing employment development where they meet the identified tests. Modification includes reference to the setting of heritage assets.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 46 – Agricultural and Rural Diversification (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Permits development which diversifies farms and other rural business where it meets the identified tests.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 47 – Local Labour Agreements (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Enables the Borough Council to negotiate planning agreements which secure jobs and/or training to local residents	Impact on those who struggle to access employment opportunities; this is often those with protected characteristics.	Policy may lead to provision of jobs or training opportunities for local residents including young people. Policy could be strengthened to include requirement for agreements not just negotiation. Adjust the Policy
LPD 48 - Retail Hierarchy and Town Centre Boundaries (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Establishes the hierarchy and boundaries of town centres.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 49 – Development within Town and Local Centres	Establishes the tests as to when new development would negatively	Impact those who are more likely to use A5 (Hot Food Takeaways) as a result of	Modifications to policy wording reduces the threshold of A5 (Hot Food

(Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken.)	affect the vitality and viability of town centres. Modification allows for a reduced percentage of A5 uses in certain areas.	their protected characteristic. For example, more accessible option for those with mobility problems; impact upon childhood obesity (age).	Takeaways) from 10% to 5% in three centres. This may lead to a reduction in these premises, which would have a positive impact upon obesity levels amongst those with protected characteristics. Continue with the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 50 – Upper Floors (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the uses permitted above units in town and local centres and parades of shops.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 51 – Impact Assessment Threshold (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets the size of retail stores that will be required to submit impact assessments.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 52 – Markets (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Provides details on how developments which propose new markets or enhancements to existing markets will be assessed.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 53 – Development within Small Parades (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets the tests which proposals for new retail development within small parades of shops will have to meet.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 54 – Fast Food	POLICY DELETED	POLICY DELETED	POLICY DELETED

Takeaways (POLICY DELETED)			
LPD 55 – Security Shutters (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out how proposals for security shutters will be assessed.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 56 – Protection of Community Facilities (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Protects community facilities from unnecessary loss.	Impact on provision of facilities for protected characteristics.	Policy offers protection for facilities if still required.
LPD 57 – Parking Standards (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken.)	Ensures the provision of an appropriate level of car parking in residential and non-residential development. Detail included in Appendix (new) Modification improves clarity but does not affect approach.	Potential impact related to size of parking spaces provided and provision of disabled and parent/child car parking.	Include guidelines for size of parking to ensure that those with mobility issues have sufficient space. Adjust the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 58 – Cycle Routes, Recreational Routes and Public Rights of Way (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Protects identified routes from development.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 59 – Park and Ride (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets the tests for assessing proposals for park and ride schemes.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required

LPD 60 – Local Transport Schemes (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Identifies and safeguards the route/location of the specified transport schemes.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 61 – Highway Safety (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures that development does not adversely affect highway safety or the access needs of all people.	Potential impact on those with mobility issues (disabled, elderly and those with children)	Policy will help ensure accessibility for those with mobility issues. Continue with the Policy
Part B: Site Allocations			
LPD 62 – Comprehensive Development (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures that development does not adversely affect the ability of larger sites to be developed in the most sustainable way.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
LPD 63 – Housing Distribution (Policy amended – equality assessment undertaken.)	Sets out the broad distribution between the different settlements in the Borough based on ACS Policy 2 (The Spatial Strategy). Modification sets out the revised housing distribution figures, and includes windfall allowance.	Population of certain settlements is less mixed in terms of protected characteristics than Borough average.	Require development of site to respond to required mix of housing. Adjust the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H1 – Rolleston Drive (LPD 64) (Capacity of site amended – equality assessment undertaken.)	Proposed modification increases capacity of site from 90 to 140 homes	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H2 – Brookfields Garden Centre (LPD 64)	Proposed modification decreases capacity of site from 105 to 90	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by

(Capacity of site amended – equality assessment undertaken.)	homes		modification).
H3 – Willow Farm (LPD 64) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 110 homes	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
H4 – Linden Grove (LPD 64) (Boundary of site amended – equality assessment undertaken.)	The site is allocated for 115 homes Proposed modification amends site area from 3.84ha to 4.03ha.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H5 – Lodge Farm Lane (LPD 64) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 150 homes	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
H6 – Spring Lane (LPD 64) (Site now referred to as a ‘planning commitment’ - equality assessment undertaken)	The site is allocated for 150 homes Proposed modification refers to site as a ‘planning commitment’ as it has been granted planning permission.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H7 – Howbeck Road/Mapperley Plains (LPD 64) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 205 homes	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required

<p>H8 – Killisick Lane (LPD 64) (Capacity of site amended – equality assessment undertaken.)</p>	<p>Proposed modification increases the capacity of the site from 215 to 230 homes. Proposed modification amends site area from 9.81ha to 10.24ha.</p>	<p>No relevance to protected characteristics.</p>	<p>Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).</p>
<p>H9 – Gedling Colliery/Chase Farm (LPD 64) (Capacity of the site amended and Site now referred to as a ‘planning commitment’ – equality assessment undertaken.)</p>	<p>Proposed modification increases the capacity of the site within the plan period from 660 to 1,050 homes and refers to site as a ‘planning commitment’ as it has been granted planning permission.</p>	<p>No relevance to protected characteristics.</p>	<p>Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).</p>
<p>X1 – Daybrook Laundry (LPD 64) (New housing allocation reflecting Inspector’s Letter dated 16/06/17)</p>	<p>This site is allocated for 50 homes.</p>	<p>No relevance to protected characteristics.</p>	<p>Not Required</p>
<p>X2 – Land West of A60 A (LPD 64) (New housing allocation reflecting Inspector’s Letter dated 16/06/17)</p>	<p>The site is allocated for 70 homes.</p>	<p>No relevance to protected characteristics.</p>	<p>Not Required</p>
<p>X3 – Land West of A60 B (LPD 64) (New housing allocation reflecting Inspector’s Letter dated 16/06/17)</p>	<p>The site is allocated for 150 homes.</p>	<p>No relevance to protected characteristics.</p>	<p>Not Required</p>
<p>H10 – Hayden Lane (LPD 64)</p>	<p>The site is allocated for 120 homes</p>	<p>No relevance to protected</p>	<p>Not Required</p>

(No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)		characteristics.	
H11 – The Sycamores (LPD 65) (Site now referred to as a ‘planning commitment’ - equality assessment undertaken)	The site is allocated for 25 homes Proposed modification refers to site as a ‘planning commitment’ as it has been granted planning permission.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H12 – Westhouse Farm (LPD 65) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 210 homes	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
H13 – Bestwood Business Park (LPD 65) (Site now referred to as a ‘planning commitment’ - equality assessment undertaken)	The site is allocated for 220 homes Proposed modification refers to site as a ‘planning commitment’ as it has been granted planning permission.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H14 – Dark Lane (LPD 66) (Site now referred to as a ‘planning commitment’ - equality assessment undertaken)	The site is allocated for 70 homes Proposed modification refers to site as a ‘planning commitment’ as it has been granted planning permission.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H15 – Main Street (LPD 66) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 75 homes	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required

assessment)			
H16 – Park Road (LPD 66) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 390 homes	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
X4 – Flatts Lane (LPD 66) (New housing allocation reflecting Inspector’s Letter dated 16/06/17)	The site is allocated for 60 homes.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
H17 – Longdale Lane A (LPD 67) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 30 homes	Population of Ravenshead is less mixed in terms of age than Borough average.	Require development of site to respond to required mix of housing. Adjust the Policy
H18 – Longdale Lane B (LPD 67) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 30 homes	Population of Ravenshead is less mixed in terms of age than Borough average.	Require development of site to respond to required mix of housing. Adjust the Policy
H19 – Longdale Lane C (LPD67) (Site now referred to as a ‘planning commitment’ - equality assessment undertaken)	The site is allocated for 70 homes Proposed modification refers to site as a ‘planning commitment’ as it has been granted planning permission.	Population of Ravenshead is less mixed in terms of age than Borough average.	Require development of site to respond to required mix of housing. Adjust the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).
X5 – Kighill Lane A (LPD 67) (New housing allocation)	The site is allocated for 20 homes.	Population of Ravenshead is less mixed in terms of age than Borough average.	Require development of site to respond to required mix of housing.

reflecting Inspector's Letter dated 16/06/17)			Adjust the Policy
X6 – Kighill Lane B (LPD 67) (New housing allocation reflecting Inspector's Letter dated 16/06/17)	The site is allocated for 30 homes.	Population of Ravenshead is less mixed in terms of age than Borough average.	Require development of site to respond to required mix of housing. Adjust the Policy
H20 – Mill Field Close (LPD 68) (Site now referred to as a 'planning commitment' - equality assessment undertaken)	The site is allocated for 20 homes Proposed modification refers to site as a 'planning commitment' as it has been granted planning permission.	Population of Burton Joyce is less mixed in terms of age than Borough average.	Require development of site to respond to required mix of housing. Adjust the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H21 – Orchard Close (LPD 68) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 15 homes	Population of Burton Joyce is less mixed in terms of age than Borough average.	Require development of site to respond to required mix of housing. Adjust the Policy
H22 – Station Road (LPD 69) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 40 homes	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
H23 – Ash Grove (LPD 70) (Site now referred to as a 'planning commitment' - equality assessment undertaken)	The site is allocated for 10 homes Proposed modification refers to site as a 'planning commitment' as it has been granted planning permission.	Population of Woodborough is less mixed in terms of age than Borough average.	Require development of site to respond to required mix of housing. Adjust the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H24 – Broad Close (LPD 70) (No main modification – no	The site is allocated for 15 homes	Population of Woodborough is less mixed in terms of age than Borough	Require development of site to respond to required mix of housing.

change from May 2016 assessment)	Proposed modification amends site area from 0.75ha to 0.79ha.	average.	Adjust the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).
E1 – Gedling Colliery (LPD new) (Allocation amended from ‘employment’ to ‘employment-led mix use’ – equality assessment undertaken)	4.69ha of land is allocated for employment uses (B1 – B8). Proposed modification broadens allocation to ‘Employment-led mixed use’.	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required (Conclusion not affected by modification).
E2 – Hillcrest Park (LPD new) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	0.85ha of land is allocated for employment uses (B1 – B8).	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
E3 – Top Wighay Farm (LPD new) (New employment allocation reflecting the Aligned Core Strategy - equality assessment undertaken)	New allocation: 8.5ha of land is allocated for employment uses (B1 – B8).	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required
E4 – Teal Close (LPD new) (New employment allocation reflecting the Aligned Core Strategy - equality assessment undertaken)	New allocation: 7ha of land is allocated for employment uses (B1 – B8).	No relevance to protected characteristics.	Not Required

Addendum to Habitats Regulations Assessment – Local Planning Document: Main Modifications

Introduction

1. The Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA), as required under the European Directive 92/43/EEC and the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010, require that Local Plans undergo an assessment to determine whether or not the Local Plan will have a significant effect on sites of European importance for nature conservation.
2. This document follows on from the Habitats Regulation Assessment (May 2016) **(LPD/REG/21)** and Addendum: Additional Housing Allocations (September 2017) **(EX/129)**. These previous assessments assessed the Publication Draft Local Planning Document (LPD) (May 2016) **(LPD/REG/02)** and the Extract of Local Planning Document Housing Allocation Policies (September 2017) **(EX126)** respectively. This Addendum: Main Modifications, which should be read in conjunction with the previous assessments, assesses the impact that proposed modifications of the policies that have arisen through the examination of the LPD.
3. This document outlines where modifications are proposed to the policies and proposals set out in the LPD and undertakes a HRA of the modifications made for the purposes outlined above. Full details of the proposed modifications are indicated in the Proposed Main Modifications to the Local Planning Document Publication Draft (February, 2018). It should be noted that some of the policy numbers have changed since the Publication Draft LPD as a result of the insertion of additional policies to the LPD.
4. Background information regarding the HRA of the Aligned Core Strategy: Part 1 Local Plan is outlined in the Habitat Regulation Assessment (May 2016) **(LPD/REG/21)** and is not repeated here to avoid duplication. The methodology used to undertake the HRA of the proposed modifications is the same as the methodology that has been used for the assessment of the Publication Draft LPD and is not repeated here.
5. The screening exercise as it relates to the prospective Sherwood Forest Special Protection Area (pSPA) is effectively a 'shadow' HRA given that the area is not yet a designated site.

Local Planning Document HRA Addendum

LPD Policies

6. The screening of the modified LPD policies, as set out in Part A (Development Management Policies) of the LPD, has been undertaken as shown in Appendix 1.
7. As the LPD has been prepared in order to be in general conformity with the Aligned Core Strategy: Part 1 Local Plan, no significant impact has been revealed arising from the proposed modifications, which reflects the conclusions of the HRA assessment of the ACS. The majority of the policies have been ruled out through the screening exercise as they will not have a Likely Significant Effect on the pSPA (or other European sites) and therefore are not taken forward to the next stage of assessment.

LPD Allocations

8. With regards to the housing and employment allocations set out in Part B (Site Allocations) of the LPD, a review of the proposed modifications is set out in Appendix 2. This confirms that there continues to be no significant effects upon the pSPA.

How to read this assessment

9. The Addendum: Main Modifications provides an update to the Habitats Regulation Assessment (May 2016) **(LPD/REG/21)** and Addendum: Additional Housing Allocations (September 2017) **(EX/129)**. These HRA's have been updated for the purpose of this addendum. The first column identifies the LPD policy/ allocation and sets out in brackets whether it has been changed by a modification. Where the policy has not changed, the original assessment is retained.
10. Where the policy/allocation has been modified, the column is highlighted grey for ease of reference. The modified policy/allocation has then been re-assessed in terms of scoping of relevance. In most cases, there is 'no change' in terms of scoping, and the original impact assessment is retained. In cases where the scoping has changed, the impact of the policy/allocation has been re-visited.
11. For ease of reference, this exercise has resulted in a revised impact assessment to the following policies and allocations:
 - Policy LPD (new) - Gypsy and Traveller Provision (assessment required as this is a new policy)

- Housing allocation H9 – Gedling Colliery/Chase Farm (assessment required to update information)
- Employment Allocation E3 – Top Wighay Farm (assessment required as this is a new policy)
- Employment Allocation E4 – Teal Close (assessment required as this is a new policy)

12. However, there are **no additional recommended changes** to these policies/allocations arising from this HRA addendum.

Appendix 1 – Scoping of Main Modifications to the Local Planning Document Proposed Policies

Policy and Status	What will policy do	Relevance to HRA	Further Screening of Policy
Part A: Development Management			
LPD 1 – Wind Turbines (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the factors that will be used to assess proposals for wind turbines.	Potential impact on pSPA by possible nuisance factors such as noise.	Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.
LPD 2 – Other Renewable Energy Schemes (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the factors that will be used to assess proposals for renewable energy schemes other than wind turbines (e.g. solar panels, anaerobic digesters geothermal).	Potential impact if development is north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.	Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.
LPD 3 – Managing Flood Risk (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Provides further guidance on the application on the application of the sequential and exceptions tests and information requirements in support of proposals.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 4 – Surface Water Management (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Provides guidance on the inclusion of measures to control surface water runoff.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 5 – Managing Water Quality (No main modification – no change from May 2016)	Protects the quality of water courses in the Borough.	No relevance.	Not required.

assessment)			
LPD 6 – Aquifer Protection (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Protects the quality of ground water held in the aquifer.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 7 – Contaminated Land (Policy amended – HRA undertaken)	Ensures that contaminated land is suitable for development and contamination is treated, contained or controlled appropriately. Modification includes reference to utilities infrastructure.	No relevance.	Not required. (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 8 – Unstable Land (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures that appropriate action is taken to ensure that land is stable and safe for development.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 9 – Hazardous Substances (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures that new development that involves hazardous substances or is close to existing sites is safe and appropriately protected.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 10 – Pollution (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures that the impacts of pollution are appropriately managed reducing the impact on health and existing development near to sources of pollution.	Potential impact on pSPA by possible nuisance factors such as noise.	Policy would require measures to minimise pollution to protect environmental quality and should have a positive benefit. Continue with the Policy.
LPD 11 – Air Quality (No main modification – no	Ensures that, if required, development takes steps to	Potential impact on pSPA through nitrogen dioxide emissions.	Policy requires emissions to be mitigated or offset; which may improve air quality

change from May 2016 assessment)	mitigate or offset emissions.		and lessen risk of impact on pSPA Continue with the Policy.
LPD 12 – Reuse of Buildings within the Green Belt (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the occasions when the reuse of buildings within the Green Belt is considered to be not inappropriate.	Potential impact if reuse of buildings are north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.	Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.
LPD 13 – Extensions to Buildings within the Green Belt (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the occasions when extensions to buildings within the Green Belt are considered to be not inappropriate.	Potential impact if extensions of buildings are north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.	Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.
LPD 14 – Replacement of Buildings within the Green Belt (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the occasions when the replacement of buildings within the Green Belt is considered to be not inappropriate.	Potential impact if replacement of buildings are north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.	Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.
LPD 15 – Infill development within the Green Belt (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the occasions when the infill development in villages or previously developed sites is considered to be not inappropriate.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 16 – Safeguarded Land (Policy amended – HRA undertaken)	Allocates safeguarded land and sets policy to assess proposals for its development during the plan period.	Potential impact on pSPA as land protected to meet long term development needs.	Land is not allocated for development at the present time and its permanent development should only be granted following a review of the LPD.

	Modification clarifies plan period, ensures planning permission does not compromise long-term development, and makes a presentational change to the Policies Map.		Continue with the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 17 – Homes for Rural Workers (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the tests for assessing proposals for new homes in association with rural businesses.	Potential impact if replacement of buildings are north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.	Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.
LPD 18 – Protecting and Enhancing Biodiversity (Policy amended – HRA undertaken)	Sets out how sites of importance for nature conservation (LWS, SSSIs etc.) will be protected. Modification clarifies status of ancient woodland.	Potential impact on pSPA if development needs outweigh the nature conservation value.	Policy requires clear justification that development need outweighs the value of the site and should have a positive benefit. Continue with the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 19 – Landscape Character and Visual Impact (Policy amended – HRA undertaken)	Protects landscapes and ensure development does not have an significant visual impact Modification improves clarity but does not affect approach.	Policy seeks to protect landscapes and provides added security.	Not required. (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 20 – Protection of Open Space (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures the protection of existing open space of different types. Includes exceptions where development may be acceptable.	Potential impact on pSPA if development needs outweigh the open space requirement.	Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.

<p>LPD 21 – Provision of New Open Space (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)</p>	<p>Requires that new residential development provides a minimum of 10% open space. Provision to be made on site or via a financial contribution.</p>	<p>Potential impact if proposals are north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.</p>	<p>The provision of new open space may focus recreational activity in that location and lessen risk of impact on pSPA. Continue with the Policy.</p>
<p>LPD 22 – Local Green Space (Policy amended – HRA undertaken)</p>	<p>Identifies and protects sites due to their value to local communities. Modification improves clarity and corrects site name but does not affect approach.</p>	<p>No relevance.</p>	<p>Not required. (Conclusion not affected by modification).</p>
<p>LPD 23 – Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)</p>	<p>Provides support for development which helps achieve the aims and objectives of the named organisations/initiatives.</p>	<p>Potential impact if proposals are north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.</p>	<p>Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.</p>
<p>LPD 24 – Tourist Accommodation (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)</p>	<p>Sets out how proposals for new tourist accommodation will be assessed</p>	<p>Potential impact if accommodation is north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.</p>	<p>Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.</p>
<p>LPD 25 – Equestrian Development (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)</p>	<p>Sets out how proposals for stables and related development will be assessed.</p>	<p>Potential impact if development is north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.</p>	<p>Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.</p>
<p>LPD 26 – Heritage Assets</p>	<p>Sets out the tests to be applied to</p>	<p>No relevance.</p>	<p>Not required.</p>

(Policy amended – HRA undertaken)	development proposals which impact on all types of heritage assets. Modification improves clarity but does not affect approach.		(Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 27 – Listed Buildings (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the tests to be applied to development proposals which impact on Listed Buildings.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 28 – Conservation Areas (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the tests to be applied to development proposals which are within or impact on Conservation Areas.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 29 – Historic Landscapes, Parks and Gardens (Policy amended – HRA undertaken)	Sets out the tests to be applied to development proposals which impact on historic landscapes or registered parks & gardens Modification improves clarity but does not affect approach.	Policy seeks to safeguard the historic environment and provides added protection.	Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 30 – Archaeology (Policy amended – HRA undertaken)	Sets out the tests to be applied to development proposals which impact on Scheduled Monuments or areas of high archaeological potential. Modification improves clarity but does not affect approach.	No relevance.	Not required. (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 31 – Locally Important	Sets out the tests to be applied to	No relevance.	Not required.

Heritage Assets (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	development proposals which impact on heritage assets which are not formally designated.		
LPD 32 – Amenity (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures that the amenity of nearby properties is not significantly affected by new development.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 33 – Residential Density (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the approach to density across the Borough with different minimum densities in different areas.	Potential impact if development is north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead	Policy reduces the density of new development in the key settlements including Calverton and may lessen risk of impact on pSPA. Continue with the Policy
LPD 34 – Residential Gardens (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Protects local character by protecting residential gardens from inappropriate development.	Potential impact if development is north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead	Policy restricts the development of residential gardens from inappropriate development and may lessen the risk of impact on pSPA. Continue with the Policy.
LPD 35 – Safe, Accessible and Inclusive Development (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Provides details of how new development, especially large scale new development, should be designed. The policy provides detail on matters such layout, connectivity and massing.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 36 – Affordable Housing (Policy amended HRA undertaken)	Requires new residential development of more than 15 dwellings to provide a contribution to the supply of affordable housing.	No relevance.	Not required. (Conclusion not affected by modification).

	The exact contribution will vary on location. Detail included in Appendix (new). Modification improves clarity by stating percentage targets rather than referring to map and clarifies affordable housing requirement in 'other areas' not identified as a sub-market. Does not affect approach.		
LPD 37 – Housing Type, Size and Tenure (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Requires new residential development to ensure an appropriate mix of homes to be provided. Policy supported through monitoring in AMR.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD (New) Gypsy and Traveller Provision (New policy – HRA undertaken)	New policy sets out that a suitable site to accommodate 3 Gypsy and Traveller pitches will be identified by 2019.	Potential impact if future identified site is proximal to pSPA.	Future identification of site should take into account potential impact on pSPA. Continue with the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 38 – Specialist Accommodation (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the tests that proposals for residential institutions will need to meet.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 39 – Housing Development on Unallocated Sites (No main modification – no	Sets out the tests that proposals for new dwellings on sites that are not specifically allocated will need to meet.	Potential impact if development is north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.	Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA.

change from May 2016 assessment)			Continue with the Policy.
LPD 40 - Live-Work Units (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out how proposals for buildings which will provide both living accommodation and business space will be assessed.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 41 – Self Build and Custom Homes (New policy – HRA undertaken)	Sets out that a proportion of large sites will be required for self/custom build. The policy also provides tests for proposals for self/custom build homes. Detail to be included in SPD. Modification broadens criteria to relate to all proposals rather than just on large sites. Requirement for an ‘appropriate percentage’ on large sites is retained.	No relevance.	Not required. Continue with the Policy
LPD 42 – Extensions to Dwellings Not in the Green Belt (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the tests for residential extensions to dwellings that are not within the Green Belt.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 43 – Retention of Employment and Employment Uses (Policy amended – HRA undertaken.)	Protects sites for employment use (and other appropriate purposes). Modification includes reference to the setting of heritage assets and makes minor boundary amendments.	No relevance.	Not required. (Conclusion not affected by modification).

<p>LPD 44 – Employment Development on Unallocated Sites (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)</p>	<p>Permits new employment development where it meets the identified tests.</p>	<p>Potential impact if replacement of buildings are north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.</p>	<p>Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.</p>
<p>LPD 45 – Expansion of Existing Employment Uses Not in the Green Belt (Policy amended – HRA undertaken.)</p>	<p>Permits extensions to existing employment development where they meet the identified tests. Modification includes reference to the setting of heritage assets.</p>	<p>Potential impact if proposals are to expand the existing uses at Calverton colliery.</p>	<p>Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).</p>
<p>LPD 46 – Agricultural and Rural Diversification (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)</p>	<p>Permits development which diversifies farms and other rural business where it meets the identified tests.</p>	<p>Potential impact if replacement of buildings are north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.</p>	<p>Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.</p>
<p>LPD 47 – Local Labour Agreements (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)</p>	<p>Enables the Borough Council to negotiate planning agreements which secure jobs and/or training to local residents</p>	<p>No relevance.</p>	<p>Not required.</p>
<p>LPD 48 - Retail Hierarchy and Town Centre Boundaries (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)</p>	<p>Establishes the hierarchy and boundaries of town centres.</p>	<p>No relevance.</p>	<p>Not required.</p>

LPD 49 – Development within Town and Local Centres (Policy amended – HRA undertaken.)	Establishes the tests as to when new development would negatively affect the vitality and viability of town centres. Modification allows for a reduced percentage of A5 uses in certain areas.	No relevance.	Not required. (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 50 – Upper Floors (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out the uses permitted above units in town and local centres and parades of shops.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 51 – Impact Assessment Threshold (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets the size of retail stores that will be required to submit impact assessments.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 52 – Markets (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Provides details on how developments which propose new markets or enhancements to existing markets will be assessed.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 53 – Development within Small Parades (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets the tests which proposals for new retail development within small parades of shops will have to meet.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 54 – Fast Food	POLICY DELETED	POLICY DELETED	POLICY DELETED

Takeaways (POLICY DELETED)			
LPD 55 – Security Shutters (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets out how proposals for security shutters will be assessed.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 56 – Protection of Community Facilities (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Protects community facilities from unnecessary loss.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 57 – Parking Standards (Policy amended – HRA undertaken.)	Ensures the provision of an appropriate level of car parking in residential and non-residential development. Detail included in Appendix (new). Modification improves clarity but does not affect approach.	No relevance.	Not required. (Conclusion not affected by modification).
LPD 58 – Cycle Routes, Recreational Routes and Public Rights of Way (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Protects identified routes from development.	Potential impact on pSPA by possible nuisance factors such as noise along the Calverton Mineral Line.	Long established policy that is being rolled forward from the 2005 Replacement Local Plan but will require monitoring to establish whether there could be a potential impact on the pSPA. The existing waymarked route should limit numbers of cyclists straying into more sensitive areas. Continue with the Policy.

LPD 59 – Park and Ride (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Sets the tests for assessing proposals for park and ride schemes.	Potential impact if development is north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.	Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.
LPD 60 – Local Transport Schemes (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Identifies and safeguards the route/location of the specified transport schemes.	No relevance.	Not required.
LPD 61 – Highway Safety (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures that development does not adversely affect highway safety or the access needs of all people.	No relevance.	Not required.
Part B: Site Allocations			
LPD 62 – Comprehensive Development (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	Ensures that development does not adversely affect the ability of larger sites to be developed in the most sustainable way.	Potential impact if development is north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.	Determination of planning applications can take into consideration whether there would be a potential impact on the pSPA. Continue with the Policy.
LPD 63 – Housing Distribution (Policy amended – HRA undertaken.)	Sets out the broad distribution between the different settlements in the Borough based on ACS Policy 2 (The Spatial Strategy). Modification sets out the revised housing distribution figures, and includes windfall allowance.	Potential impact if development is north of B6386 Calverton or west of A60 or north of Ricket Lane, Ravenshead.	The scoping of the individual development sites as to the impact on the pSPA is shown in Appendix 3. Continue with the Policy (Conclusion not affected by modification).

Appendix 2 – Scoping of Main Modifications to the Local Planning Document Proposed Policies

LPD Reference and Main Modification Status	Number of Units and Locality	Impact on pSPA
H1 – Rolleston Drive (LPD 64) (Allocation amended – HRA undertaken.)	Proposed modification increases capacity of site from 90 to 140 homes Locality: Arnold	No impact as within urban area. (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H2 – Brookfields Garden Centre (LPD 64) (Allocation amended – HRA undertaken.)	Proposed modification decreases capacity of site from 105 to 90 homes Locality: Arnold	No impact as on edge of urban area. (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H3 – Willow Farm (LPD 64) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 110 homes Locality: Carlton	No impact as on edge of urban area.
H4 – Linden Grove (LPD 64) (Allocation amended – HRA undertaken.)	The site is allocated for 115 homes. Proposed modification amends site area from 3.84ha to 4.03ha. Locality: Carlton	No impact as within urban area. (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H5 – Lodge Farm Lane (LPD 64) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 150 homes Locality: Arnold	No impact as on edge of urban area.
H6 – Spring Lane (LPD 64) (Allocation amended – HRA undertaken.)	The site is allocated for 150 homes Proposed modification refers to site as a ‘planning commitment’ as it has been	No impact as on edge of urban area. (Conclusion not affected by modification).

	granted planning permission. Locality: Carlton	
H7 – Howbeck Road/Mapperley Plains (LPD 64) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 205 homes Locality: Arnold	No impact as on edge of urban area.
H8 – Killisick Lane (LPD 64) (Allocation amended – HRA undertaken.)	Proposed modification increases the capacity of the site from 215 to 230 homes. Proposed modification amends site area from 9.81ha to 10.24ha. Locality: Arnold	No impact as on edge of urban area. (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H9 – Gedling Colliery/Chase Farm (LPD 64) (Allocation amended – HRA undertaken.)	Proposed modification increases the capacity of the site within the plan period from 660 to 1,050 homes and refers to site as a ‘planning commitment’ as it has been granted planning permission. Locality: Carlton	Submitted application for 1,050 homes. Planning permission granted 03/03/2017 (reference: 2015/1376). Anticipated 1,050 dwellings to be delivered within plan period. No change to overall capacity of the site, just the capacity to be delivered within the plan period. No impact as on edge of urban area.
X1 – Daybrook Laundry (LPD 64) (New housing allocation reflecting Inspector’s Letter dated 16/06/17)	This site is allocated for 50 homes. Locality: Arnold	No impact as within urban area.
X2 – Land West of A60 A (LPD 64) (New housing allocation reflecting	The site is allocated for 70 homes.	No impact as on edge of urban area.

Inspector's Letter dated 16/06/17)	Locality: Arnold	
X3 – Land West of A60 B (LPD 64) (New housing allocation reflecting Inspector's Letter dated 16/06/17)	The site is allocated for 150 homes. Locality: Arnold	No impact as on edge of urban area.
H10 – Hayden Lane (LPD 64) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 120 homes Locality: Hucknall	No impact as significant distance from pSPA.
H11 – The Sycamores (LPD 65) (Allocation amended – HRA undertaken.)	The site is allocated for 25 homes Proposed modification refers to site as a 'planning commitment' as it has been granted planning permission. Locality: Bestwood Village	Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale and location of proposed development. (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H12 – Westhouse Farm (LPD 65) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 210 homes Locality: Bestwood Village	Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale and location of proposed development. Screening record of emerging sites in the ACS considered the potential impact of 800 dwellings to the north of the village and was not viewed to have a significant effect.
H13 – Bestwood Business Park (LPD 65) (Allocation amended – HRA undertaken.)	The site is allocated for 220 homes Proposed modification refers to site as a 'planning commitment' as it has been granted planning permission. Locality: Bestwood Village	Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale and location of proposed development. (Conclusion not affected by modification).

<p>H14 – Dark Lane (LPD 66) (Allocation amended – HRA undertaken.)</p>	<p>The site is allocated for 70 homes Proposed modification refers to site as a ‘planning commitment’ as it has been granted planning permission. Locality: Calverton</p>	<p>Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale and location of proposed development. (Conclusion not affected by modification).</p>
<p>H15 – Main Street (LPD 66) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)</p>	<p>The site is allocated for 75 homes Locality: Calverton</p>	<p>Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale which has significantly reduced from the numbers identified in the emerging sites in the Aligned Core Strategy and location of proposed development.</p> <p>Mitigation measures including green infrastructure and visitor management will be required and should help avoid the likelihood of a significant effect on the pSPA. The need for a further assessment of potential effects will be included within policy.</p>
<p>H16 – Park Road (LPD 66) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)</p>	<p>The site is allocated for 390 homes Locality: Calverton</p>	<p>Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale which has significantly reduced from the numbers identified in the emerging sites in the Aligned Core Strategy and location of proposed development.</p> <p>Mitigation measures including green infrastructure and visitor management will be required and should help avoid the likelihood of a significant effect on the pSPA. The need for a further assessment of potential effects will be included within policy.</p>
<p>X4 – Flatts Lane (LPD 66) (New housing allocation reflecting Inspector’s Letter dated 16/06/17)</p>	<p>The site is allocated for 60 homes. Locality: Calverton</p>	<p>Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale which has significantly reduced from the numbers identified in the emerging sites in the Aligned Core Strategy and location of proposed development.</p>

		Mitigation measures including green infrastructure and visitor management will be required and should help avoid the likelihood of a significant effect on the pSPA. The need for a further assessment of potential effects will be included within policy.
H17 – Longdale Lane A (LPD 67) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 30 homes Locality: Ravenshead	Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale and location of proposed development.
H18 – Longdale Lane B (LPD 67) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 30 homes Locality: Ravenshead	Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale and location of proposed development.
H19 – Longdale Lane C (LPD 67) (Allocation amended – HRA undertaken.)	The site is allocated for 70 homes Proposed modification refers to site as a ‘planning commitment’ as it has been granted planning permission. Locality: Ravenshead	Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale and location of proposed development. (Conclusion not affected by modification).
X5 – Kighill Lane A (LPD 67) (New housing allocation reflecting Inspector’s Letter dated 16/06/17)	The site is allocated for 20 homes.	Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale and location of proposed development.
X6 – Kighill Lane B (LPD 67) (New housing allocation reflecting Inspector’s Letter dated 16/06/17)	The site is allocated for 30 homes.	Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale and location of proposed development.
H20 – Millfield Close (LPD 68)	The site is allocated for 20	No impact as significant distance from pSPA.

(Allocation amended – HRA undertaken.)	homes Proposed modification refers to site as a ‘planning commitment’ as it has been granted planning permission. Locality: Burton Joyce	(Conclusion not affected by modification).
H21 – Orchard Close (LPD 68) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 15 homes Locality: Burton Joyce	No impact as significant distance from pSPA.
H22 – Station Road (LPD 69) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	The site is allocated for 40 homes Locality: Newstead	Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale and location of proposed development within the village.
H23 – Ash Grove (LPD 70) (Allocation amended – HRA undertaken.)	The site is allocated for 10 homes Proposed modification refers to site as a ‘planning commitment’ as it has been granted planning permission. Locality: Woodborough	No impact as significant distance from pSPA. (Conclusion not affected by modification).
H24 – Broad Close (LPD 70) (Allocation amended – HRA undertaken.)	The site is allocated for 15 homes. Proposed modification amends site area from 0.75ha to 0.79ha. Locality: Woodborough	No impact as significant distance from pSPA. (Conclusion not affected by modification).
E1 – Gedling Colliery (LPD new)	4.69ha of land is allocated	No impact as significant distance from pSPA.

(Allocation amended from 'employment' to 'employment-led mix use' –equality assessment undertaken)	for employment uses (B1 – B8). Proposed modification broadens allocation to 'Employment-led mixed use'. Locality: Carlton	(Conclusion not affected by modification).
E2 – Hillcrest Park (LPD new) (No main modification – no change from May 2016 assessment)	0.85ha of land is allocated for employment uses (B1 – B8). Locality: Calverton	Would not be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in-combination, on any European site as a result of the scale and potential use of proposed development. The Replacement Local Plan allocated three ha for employment purposes, two ha has been developed leaving one ha. Site is adjacent to existing industrial estate and employment premises.
E3 – Top Wighay Farm (LPD new) (New employment allocation reflecting the Aligned Core Strategy - HRA undertaken)	New allocation: 8.5ha of land is allocated for employment uses (B1 – B8) Locality: Hucknall	The Aligned Core Strategy (ACS) allocates Top Wighay Farm as a 'Strategic Allocation'. The HRA Screening record for the Aligned Core Strategy indicated that the site may have a potential impact upon the pSPA. Subsequently, noise and air pollution impact assessments were reviewed in consultation with Natural England, and it was concluded that the Top Wighay allocation would have no significant effect. Given this previous assessment, and that the site has already been identified in the ACS, it is considered that the potential impact on the pSPA is already been assessed.
E4 – Teal Close (LPD new) (New employment allocation reflecting the Aligned Core Strategy - HRA undertaken)	New allocation: 7ha of land is allocated for employment uses (B1 – B8). Locality: Carlton	The Aligned Core Strategy (ACS) allocates Teal Close as a 'Strategic Allocation'. The site has outline planning permission including B1 a) office, B2 and B8 uses on a separate part of the site. The HRA of this site has already been considered through the ACS process. No impact as significant distance from pSPA.